

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,788	10/647,788 08/26/2003		Pierre Rondeau	RP-00128-US50	3677	
909	7590	05/05/2004		EXAMINER		
		THROP, LLP	FLEMING, FAYE M			
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN,		02	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3616		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	ion No	A				
		Applicat		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/647,7		RONDEAU ET AL.	1			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	/			
	TI DIALI NO DATE AND	Faye Fle	ming	3616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMU	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no elon. a reply within the stateriod will apply and vestions the applements.	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con	nmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
		This action is i	non-final					
1	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Qi</i>	uavle. 1935 C.D. 11 45	3 O G 213	1161112 12			
	on of Claims	μ	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.0.210.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		on nido anti- o					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iurawii iioiii cc	insideration,					
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-77</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election	o outino ma o at					
		na/or election i	equirement.					
Application	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exar							
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) I	oe held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) 🔲 7	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTC	-152.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. & 119(a).	.(d) or (f)	,			
	All b) Some * c) None of:		10 0.0.0. 3 110(a)	(u) 01 (1).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
;	B. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docume	ents have been received	d in this National St	200			
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rul	e 17 2(a))	a in this Hational Of	aye			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a			i .				
-			, 11 112175					
Attachmon4	c)				=			
Attachment(of References Cited (PTO-892)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ì	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-413) e				
3) 🔀 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	:/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	e tent Application (PTO-1:	52) ·			
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/26/03</u> .		6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trac TOL-326 (Rev		e Action Summa	y Part	of Paper No./Mail Date	20040401			

Application/Control Number: 10/647,788

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the term "ATV-type tire" should be described in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The terms "ATV-type tire" is unclear; the examiner notes the applicant fails to provide a description in the specification of the terms. The claim language "...in use, a combined center of gravity of the ATV, with the driver sitting in a standard riding position on the main seat portion and the passenger sitting in a standard riding position on the secondary seat portion, is disposed in front of the rear axis..." is unclear. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,788

Art Unit: 3616

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim language "...in use, a combined center of gravity of the ATV, with the driver sitting in a standard riding position on the main seat portion and the passenger sitting in a standard riding position on the secondary seat portion, is disposed in front of the rear axis..." is improper because the passenger is a non-statutory subject. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitao, et al (6,296,163).

Kitao, et al teaches an ATV comprising a frame; only four wheels suspended from the frame, two of which are front wheels and two which are rear wheels; a power unit for driving at least one of the wheels disposed on the frame; a straddle-type seat supported by the frame, the seat including a main seat portion for a driver, the main seat portion having a rearward part, a secondary seat portion, rearward of the main seat portion; and a steering

Application/Control Number: 10/647,788

Art Unit: 3616

member connected to the frame comprising a handlebar, as shown in figures 1 and 2. The ATV has a center of gravity. With respect to the wheelbase, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the wheelbase a specific size, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. With respect to the straddle-type seat, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the seat arranged such that the center of gravity is in the front of the rear axis by a specific distance and/or rearwardly of the front axis by a specific distance, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. With respect to the distance between front axis and the rear axis, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have a ratio of a distance between the front axis and the center of gravity to a distance between the center of gravity and the rear axis a specific value, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Notice of References Cited list references disclosing some features in common with the present invention.

Art Unit: 3616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Fleming whose telephone number is (703) 305-0209. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Faye Fleming Examiner

Art Unit 3616